Sponsored Content

Genetically Modified Soil Microbes May Have "Irreversible Consequences" — New Report

A plan by major agrichemical companies to develop genetically engineered microbes to aid in pesticide production is an "open-air genetic experiment that may have irreversible consequences," according to a report published Tuesday by Friends of the Earth.

Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.

Share Tweet Email

Miss a day, miss a lot. Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. **It's free.**

A plan by major agrichemical companies to develop genetically engineered (GE) soil microbes, including bacteria and fungi, to act as pesticides and fertilizers is raising concerns about the unknown and potentially disastrous risks associated with releasing GE organisms, according to a report published Tuesday by Friends of the Earth.

Bayer-Monsanto, Syngenta and BASF are among the chemical giants known to be developing the microbes which, according to the report, are fundamentally different from the already controversial genetically modified organisms (GMOs) that have existed for decades.

GE microbes are living organisms that share their genetic material easily with other species and cannot be recalled once released in the environment, the report's microscope, their numbers are vast.

"An application of GE bacteria across approximately 3 million genetically modified organisms every half an acre — that's about how many GE corn plants are in the entire state of Iowa — could release trillions of bacteria," Friends of the Earth, in a press release, said.

Introducing GE microbes into agriculture represents an "unprecedented open-air genetic experiment," the report says. The scale of release is "large" and the odds of contamination are far smaller than for other GE crops."

Contamination would be irreversible and the risks of less than 1 percent of the billions of existing species of microbes or "biodiversity."

Yet the risk to soil by biotech and agrichemical companies to develop, modify and patent new microbes to capture a share of the biologicals market, which is set to triple in value from $3 billion to $10 billion by 2024.

And teams at GE microbes, Pivot Bio's Proven and BASF's Penelio Valmor raised the issue of contamination by U.S. farmers on millions of acres of corn and soybeans.

RFK Jr./Hooker Niki Scott: Vax Unmax. Order

Sponsored Content

"GMO companies are pushing GE microbes into the open environment, so we see the first rules of what risks this might present," Claire Robinson, co-editor of GMWatch, told The Defender. "The problem is that the rules are very weak. We have seen that the EU and the USA microbes actually work at the 'big' scale."

"The latest science shows that engineering organisms can result in unintended genetic mishaps," said Kendra Klein, Ph.D., deputy director for science & friends of the Earth and author of the report. "We don't know whether there is the potential for disastrous risks of creating an invasive species or novel human pathogen."

Klein added, "The release of GE microbes across millions of acres of farmland is an open-air genetic experiment that may have irreversible consequences. Once they are released, GE organisms cannot be recalled."

The report provides a historical context for understanding the new technology, which it says is being developed in the absence of adequate oversight. Science policy recommendations for assessing and regulating GE microbes.

Big ag: Regenerative agriculture leaders feeding the world?

In recent years, growing numbers of scientists and farmers have studied the ecology of microbiomes in agriculture, which is the aggregate of microbial living in the soil, and soil health.

These tiny microorganisms play an outsized role in healthy plant growth, building soil structure, mediating nutrients, providing crops with defenses against pests and diseases, and fixing carbon and nitrogen. And they form symbiotic relationships with other species, like plants.

With that knowledge, regenerative agriculture has emerged as a movement that "aims to improve soil health, increase biodiversity and sequester carbon in order to mitigate the effects of climate change," the report says.

Regenerative farmer Gabe Brown explained the philosophy behind his farming practices. For thousands of years, Native Last Spears, animals and microbes lived in harmony," he posted on Facebook this week.

Kuhn said The Defender:

> "We have spent the last few thousand years trying to conquer, kill and control, all in the name of feeding the masses. We have created a world much of our topsoil and killed off many of the species that we thought were unimportant.
>
> "Somewhere along the way, some farmers began to realize we had gone too far and started looking for a better way. We learned how to plant without tillage. We realized the soil was alive with microbes and we began to feed the soil instead of the plant. We realized mother Nature has been trying to show us all along. The key is diversity.
>
> "Once we learn to quit conquering and work with nature we see life return in full force and the nutritional value of the food increases. We don't have declining for decades, begins to climb.
>
> "When we learn to focus on life and we start working with the system – diversity of crops, cover crops, livestock, etc.) microbes and wildlife return. We can easily grow enough food without synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, GMO crops and microbes."

Klein said the GE microbes are already sold to farmers and billions of naturally occurring microbes are yet unexplored, according to the report, raising the question of why corporations need to engineer microbes in the first place.

In the last several years, the major agrichemical companies, Syngenta (ChemChina), BASF, Bayer-Monsanto, DNC, Corteva and Pivot Bio/Proven have acquired most existing biologicals companies.

These are the same companies that controlled the creation and distribution of GE crops in the past.

"They're having a hard time finding new products," the report says, of downgrading the environmental and health impacts of their products, systematically undermining small farmers, obfuscating the regulatory process and [illegible] in addition to their products.

Kuhn warned that we decided we could help the system by genetically modifying crops it has almost impact to resistant weeds as Mother Nature would do it. "We have created a monster and now we are trying to feed it with more genetically modified anything. What we need is a partnership of the system."

In marketing microbes, the companies are appropriating the "regenerative agriculture" label, claiming to be leaders in the movement.

But they sell their microbes as part of "integrated platforms," which means farmers seeking microbes must also purchase the companies' engineered seeds, pesticides and other proprietary products and practices that are directly contrary to the principles of regeneration that farmers like Fuller promote.

The companies are also investing "significant research" dollars to develop GE plants to "feed the world," the report says.

Fuller said farmers have been told for years they need to innovate pride to "feed the world."

> "This is nothing more than industry BS to sell farmers products they don't need. We have long been growing enough to feed the world and if we reduce the production they are much of the world will still go hungry.
>
> "Hunger is a result of politics and logistics, not production. We will never be able to grow our way out of hunger. We have been told for decades that to grow food in systems designed to live instead of systems designed to kill."

What could possibly go wrong?

The report cites warns of "knowledge gaps" — scientists and farmers are only beginning to understand the diverse ecological roles microbes play.

The latest science shows that genetic engineering, including new gene-editing techniques like CRISPR, can result in unintended genetic mishaps and mutations, such as accidental gene insertions and deletions when engineering living organisms.

Pivot Bio's patent application for its GE microbe Proven, marketed as a nitrogen fertilizer, lists 29 different genes along with many proteins and enzymes that could be manipulated, the report says. Each manipulation can result in unintended changes to the microbe's genome and deletions when engineering.

The company's scientists also published a study showing they were surprised to find removing proven's enhanced nitrogen because it could have just as easily reduced it.

"If we've driven with genetic regulatory processes did not mean we understood the complexity of the system," Klein wrote in a Food Tank op-ed.

Pivot touched Proven in 2019. The company, backed by Monsanto and other investors including the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, raised more than $430 million in private equity based on its promise of making nitrogen fixation, reducing the need for synthetic nitrogen, replacing it with "clean nitrogen" from microbes.

But its own scientific studies showed no reduction in nitrogen use by farmers when the GE microbe is applied, the report says.

BASF's Penelio Unisea 2.0 seed treatment includes a GE N fixation and phosphate solubilizing microbe that also serves farm to soil organisms, pollinators and aquatic ecosystems.

Your support helps fuel the work, and FREE related advocacy tools, of our scientific research.

DONATE NOW

The "knowledge gap" around microbes means the risks are almost incalculable, critics say.

The wide geographic distribution of GE microbes, according to the report, could change interspecies genetic relationships with weeds and pests, as a rule. orders of magnitude larger than with corn scientist GE crops, "with unforeseen and potentially irreversible consequences."

For example, if a new microbial treatment becomes permanently established as an invasive species in the wild, it could influence other microbial species, even the structure of the whole ecosystem.

Soil microbes could also become human or animal pathogens, and with the potential to come into contact with consumers via the food chain. "It's always potential concerns for impacts on the human biome" as well, the report said.

A confusing and opaque regulatory framework

These microbes are being commercialized through a confusing, opaque and antiquated regulatory system, the report says. The U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) respectively oversee the microbes, but neither has the capacity to assess the risks of genetically engineered microbes in the environment.

The EPA says on its website that it has eight GE microbes registered, but there is no publicly available information on what they are or where they are currently on the market.

Investigators will find such information, because companies claim most information is confidential business information, the authors wrote.

Once these products are released into the environment, there is no required post-market surveillance or safety testing.

That means the existing regulatory system is set to easily and rapidly greenlight an unknown number of new GE microbes the agrichemical industry is developing with no thorough evaluation of the unique risks they may pose to human and environmental health.

Friends of the Earth is calling for a new regulatory framework adequate to the challenge of GE microbes. Specifically, the group is calling on the EPA to not recognize GE microbes as "tested" and require independent review and assessment of potential health and environmental impacts.

The step say the organisms should be regulated by precautionary principle and that country should be more cautious than is more responsive.

Subscribe To The Defender - It's Free!

Your Email Address SIGN UP

BRENDA B. PH.D.

Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.

Brenda Baletti Ph.D. is a reporter for The Defender. She has an undergraduate degree in biology and a master's degree in science writing. She has a Ph.D. in human geography from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and a master's from the University of Texas at Austin.

Sign up **for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. Child Vaccines on Trial, Wuhan Coronavirus, Fluoride, Frankenfoods, Silent Spring and others pushes for these exposed. We can't do it without** your support.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic or boilerplate comments may be removed.

Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

Terms | Privacy Policy

SIGN IN

13 Comments

Login

Join the discussion...

Sort Newest Oldest

Judith Spears

Thank you!

I really don't understand how 2000' of these characters and getting away with it and remember where do they live? Is some atmosphere immune to their EEEFFS' ideas? Aren't we used to their ideas? Aren't we immune to their poisons? Aren't we immune to their ideas? Aren't we immune to their poisons? Aren't we immune to their poisons? Aren't we immune to their poisons